the investigation by the Defendant. On March 1, 2018, the Government provided

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CONTINUE - 1

26

17 18

19

21

22

20

23 24

25 26

discovery disclosure No. 22, which includes the attachments to ECF No. 614 and also other discovery that has not been in the possession of the undersigned prior to March 1, 2018. Discovery Disclosure No. 22 includes 956 pages. Due to hearing and a trial on March 2, 2018 on the other matters, the undersigned was not able to start reviewing the discovery until March 4, 2018. More time is needed to review these materials to prepare for the motion hearing. The materials include forensic analysis of three cellular phones that were seized from three of the four search locations on March 12, 2012. No additional search warrant was obtained to search data on the phones. One cell phone was seized from the home of Mr. Herrera Farias. It is unknown which forensic analysis of the cellular phone that has been presented in discovery pertains to the phone seized from Mr. Herrera Farias' home.

Ninth Circuit case law recognizes that "electronic devises could contain vast quantities of intermingled information, raising the risks inherent in over-seizing data," and therefore, "law enforcement and judicial officers must be especially cognizant of privacy risks when drafting and executing search warrants for electronic evidence." United States v. Schesso, 730 F.3d 1040, 1042 (9th Cir. 2013). Searches of electronic records pose unique challenges for "striking the right balance between the government's interest in law enforcement and the right of individuals to be free from unreasonable searches and seizures." United States v. Comprehensive Drug Testing, Inc., 621 F.3d 1162, 1177 (9th Cir. 2010) (en banc). Therefore, additional time is

1

3 4

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

necessary to research the search of phone data.

Further, on February 28, 2018, the Government filed a Federal Rule of Evidence 609 Notice that it intends to use Mr. Herrera Farias' prior conviction resulting from controlled substances seized on March 22, 2012 as impeachment evidence if the Defendant testifies at trial. This Notice presents unique issues since the Government's theory is that the seizure of controlled substances and firearms on March 22, 2012 are acts in furtherance of the charged conspiracy.

The Defendant intends to object to the FRE 609 Notice pre-trial. It is requested that the Court hear both the Motion to Suppress and the Objection to the FRE 609 Notice at the same time.

The undersigned has discussed this Motion with AUSA Caitlin Baunsgard who stated the Government does not take position on the Motion but will be ready to present evidence on the Motion to Suppress on March 6, 2018 at the scheduled hearing. No other defendant has standing on the Motion to Suppress in ECF No. 614.

RESPECTFULLY submitted this 5<sup>th</sup> day of March 2018.

WALDO, SCHWEDA & MONTGOMERY, P.S.

By: /s/ Peter S. Schweda

PETER S. SCHWEDA Attorney for Defendant Herrera Farias

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on March 5, 2018, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Joseph H. Harrington
Acting United States Attorney
Eastern District of Washington
Stephanie Van Marter
Caitlin Baunsgard
Assistant United States Attorney
Eastern District of Washington
300 United States Courthouse
PO Box 1494
Spokane, WA 99210

By: <u>/s/ KATHLEEN SCHROEDER</u> Legal Assistant to Peter S. Schweda

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CONTINUE - 4